



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/458,354	12/09/1999	STEPHEN G. SCHAIBLE	ACSC-60419	8755	
24201	7590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER		
	R PATTON LEE & UTE	THISSELL, JEREMY			
6060 CENT	HUGHES CENTER ER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TENTH FLOOR			3763		
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90045	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	20		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				/		
	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	$-\sqrt{V}$		
Office Action Summary		158,354	SCHAIBLE ET AL.	<u> </u>		
		miner	Art Unit			
		my T. Thissell	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this co. Period for Reply	mmunication appears o	on the cover shet with th	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the prafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the state	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In his communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within to immunication will apply for reply will, by statute, cause to months after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a reply be the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.		
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>13 Jan</u> uary	<u>v 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This actio					
3) Since this application is in con	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex par	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-26 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 i 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-10, 12-26 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to	s/are withdrawn from o ected. d to.	consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that ar Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	is/are: a) accepted by objection to the drawing cluding the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance. Strequired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	e of: priority documents have priority documents have popies of the priority do pernational Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in Applic ocuments have been rece T Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage)		
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 	eview (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mail				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date			al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/458,354

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Condon et al (US 5,188,596).

Condon teaches all the claimed subject matter, including a transparent shaft section proximal to a non-transparent balloon section (fig 7). See col. 6, lines 32-36 for non-transparent balloon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10, 12, and 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Condon in view of Muni et al (US 5,316,706).

Condon teaches all the claimed subject matter except for the sizing of the device, and that it is made out of PEEK with particular properties. As discussed in prior office

actions, it would have been obvious to change the size of the device slightly as it is within the level of ordinary skill in the art to change sizes to fit different anatomy of different patients and or for use in different body locations. Further, Muni teaches the use of transparent PEEK with the claimed crystallinity and that it is amorphous. Again, as discussed in previous office actions, it would have been obvious to choose PEEK as a well-known transparent material suitable for use in catheters, and also as previously mentioned, the percent transmission of visible light is considered to be inherent to transparent forms of PEEK.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 13 January 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

First, the examiner takes note of Condon's non-conventional use of the terms "proximal" and "distal," as pointed out by applicant. For clarity, the examiner does not rely on Condon's use, but rather refers to what is shown in the drawings, and refers to placement in the conventional manner.

Applicant argued that the Condon reference does not teach that the shaft of the catheter has a non-transparent distal shaft section and a transparent proximal shaft section. However, the examiner again refers to figure 7, which teaches "a nontransparent wall with a plurality of holes or windows 68." (Col. 6, lines 43-44) Taking, for example, the most proximally positioned transparent window (i.e. shaft section),

there are non-transparent shaft sections distal to it, as well as non-transparent balloons as discussed above.

Applicant also argued that Condon does not teach an inner tube forming the inflation lumen for the balloon. However, Condon teaches at col. 5, lines 33-38, that the balloons are filled via conduits that may be individual conduits within the outer catheter.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3763

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy T. Thissell whose telephone number is (703) 305-5261. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-7:00 Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached at (703) 308-3552. The fax phone numbers for all fax communications is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**

Page 5